SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—58. (*a*) and (*b*) A statement showing the required information is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix XVI.)

MESSRS. NILGIRI G. SANJEEVIAH and T. MARIAPPA (Minority Interest).—

Q.—59. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many houses belong to the people of the Kurubar Community in Lingapatna *dakla* Village of Benamanahalli, Malvalli Taluk? What is the population of that community, and are the Government aware that some peopel of this village have not enough accommodation to live in or are residing in the pials of houses for want of accommodation?

(*b*) How many years have elapsed since twenty families of this community deposited in the treasury a sum of Rs. 300 in connection with the house site in Survey No. 298—1 and how many reminders were received from them?

(*c*) Who were the officers that were of opinion that the site was necessary for them? Did any officer come in the way of granting the site belonging to Chikkamma? If so, who is that officer?

(*d*) Is it a fact that the Village Panchayet of the place has recognised the necessity for a house site for these people?

(*e*) Is it a fact that Mr. Lakshmana Rao, Sub-Division Officer, who inspected the village, was of opinion that 1 acre and 3 guntas in Survey No. 298—1 was enough, that he would arrange to grant enough space in Survey No. 391 belonging to Anjaneya Temple and that the site was suitable for *grama-tana* and that he took fresh proceedings in the matter after having got the necessary sketches prepared?

(*f*) Is it a fact that only 1 acre and 3 guntas. of land was awarded in Survey No. 298—1?

(*g*) Is it a fact that, after receipt of notice to receive the award amount, the proprietor of the land built in the night and without orders thatched and tiled houses on the 27th October 1930 and 8th December 1930 and that the village officers have reported the matter?

(*h*) In which offices were those reports pending and how long in each office? What was the action taken thereon and was proper action taken in time in detail?

(*i*) When was the order issued by the Sub-Division Officer for removing the sheds and other buildings on the land and for taking possession of the land? For how many days was that order pending in the Taluk Office and with the Revenue Inspector of Halagur Hobli, and did they execute the order in time in detail?

(*j*) Are the Government aware that houses were allowed to spring up and the execution of the Sub-Division Officer's order was delayed with a view to enable the parties to appeal in the matter?

(*k*) When are the distressed parties to get relief in the matter of securing sufficient site and how?

(*l*) Will the Government be pleased to make urgent arrangements for taking possession of the land awarded to enable houses being constructed and to grant some more house sites in future?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—59. (*a*) There are 5 houses of Kurbar Community with a population of 37 in Lingapatna Village.

(*b*) Rs. 100 on the 11th December 1926; Rs. 200 on the 3rd January 1927; six reminders to the Amildar, five to the Assistant Commissioner, five to the Deputy Commissioner since depositing the amount.

(*c*) The Amildar was the, first officer who, after the inspection opined that the extension was necessary. At first it was proposed to acquire 1 acre and 16 guntas of Chikkamma's land for house building purposes. The Sub-Division Officer after spot inspection opined that 16 guntas of Chikkamma's land would do, and that the *hullu hittalu* portion measuring 27 guntas in the same number may be acquired, and acquisition proceedings were sanctioned as per his recommendation, and land acquired.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) Yes.

(*g*) The Patel has stated that on 28th December 1930 the proprietors of the *hullu hittalu* built house thereon without permission and that *takrar takhtas* have been submitted in the matter.

The *takrar takhtas* have been received in connection with an appeal No. R. A. 15 of 1931-32.

(*h*) The award of the Sub-Division Officer was passed on the 4th September 1930 and notice to parties was issued on the 18th October 1930 to appear in Malvalli on the 18th November 1930 and the Amildar was ordered to have money ready for payment. But correspondence ensued in the matter of refund of the deposit for the payment of the money to the parties. The final notice calling on the parties to receive money was issued on the 14th April 1931 to appear on the 24th April 1931 at Malvalli on which latter date the money was ordered to be deposited in the revenue deposit as all refused to take the money on that date. (Subsequently Kariyaboyi, one of the claimants, was paid Rs. 21-9-7 on the 15th June 1931 at his request.)

(*i*) The order of the Sub-Division Officer for removing the sheds was passed on the 8th July 1931. The order was received in the Taluk Office on the 14th July 1931 and notices to parties were issued on the 17th July 1931. The parties appealed to the Deputy Commissioner on the 4th August 1931. This order was not pending with the Revenue Inspector for any period.

(*j*) No.

(*k*) and (*l*) Needful orders will be issued after the appeal is disposed of.

MESSRS. NILGIRI G. SANJEEVIAH and T. MARIAPPA (Minority Interest).—

Q.—60. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Is the industrial school at Melkote in receipt of a Government grant?

(*b*) What are the industries taught there? To what communities the students of that school belong? How many of them belong to the Kurubar Community, how many to the Vokkaligar Community and how many of them to the Devanga Community?

(*c*) What is the population of Kurubars in the State according to the Census of 1931 and that of Devangas and Banajigars?

(*d*) What are the places in which industrial schools are situated for Kurubars, Devangas and Banajigars?

(*e*) What are the industries taught in Melkote Industrial School?